FOR MYSORE STATE

PUBLIC WORKS (195 4) Department.

Rs. SERIES.

Clerk
Dy Secy

G.O. No. 1474

1474

Dated 24th April, 1954.

[Despatch Abstract.]

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION - Starred No.275 of January 1954 by Sri K. V Maatrumana Gowda - Irrigation - South Kanara district - Construction an anicut across the Mandaka stream - Papers - Recorded.

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.

14-9-1953.

STARRED

SRI K. VENKATARAMANA GOWDA, M.L.A.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

*Q.No.583.

Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have any proposal for constructing an anicut across the Kandadka stream in South Kanara;

(b) whether this proposal includes construction of a bridge over the stream;

(c) whether that bridge work is included in the Post War Road Development Scheme; and

(d) whether it is a fact that the public have been urging Government to construct this bridge in the Malnad area?

(e) in view of the Firka Development Scheme having been extended to the area and the little sum spent on irrigation schemes in the district, whether the Government will consider the disirability of sanctioning the proposal early since it has been in the consideration of Government for a long time?

K.B.15/9

14-9-1953.

STARRED

SRI K. VENKATARAMANA GOWDA, M.L.A.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

*Q.No.583.

Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have any proposal for constructing an anicut across the Kandadka stream in South Kanara;

(b) whether this proposal includes the necessitates construction of a bridge over the stream;

(c) whether that the bridge work is included in the Post War Road Development Scheme; and

(d) whether it is a fact that the public have been urging Government to construct this bridge since it serves vast traffic of in the Malnad area throughout the year; and

(e) in view of the Firka Development Scheme having been extended to the area and the little sum spent on irrigation schemes in the district, whether the Government will consider the disirability of sanctioning the proposal early since it has been in the consideration of Government for a long time?

To A.S.

An advance copy of the question may be circulated to M (Ac&WC) for information

5.X.53

6.10.53

6/10/53

M (Ac.C.)

9/10

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
9-OCT 1953
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

K.B.15/9

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.
14.9.1953.

...

SRI K. VENKATRAMANA GOWDA, M.L.A.;

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

...

Starred.

Draft answer.

*Q.No.583

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Govt. have any proposal for constructing an anicut across the Kandaka stream in South Kanara;

(a) The proposal was examined by the Govt. but deferred ~~and it is not now under consideration~~ as being very uneconomic, the estimated cost being Rs 3 Lakhs for irrigating an area of ~~about~~ only about 100 acres.

(b) whether this proposal includes the construction of a bridge over the stream;

(b) Yes.

(c) whether that bridge work is included in the Post War Road Development Scheme;

(c) No.

(d) whether it is a fact that the public have been urging Govt. to construct this bridge?

(d) Yes. The question of constructing this Bridge will be considered at the time of preparing the next list of works to be financed ~~from~~ out of the State's allocations from Central Road Fund.

D 28/11/53.

28.11.53

The above answer has been approved by the Minister for Works.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

(LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT.
14-9-1953.

STARRED

SRI K. VENKATRAMANA GOWDA, M.L.A.,
Public Works Department.

Question No.583.

Will the Honourable the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have any proposal for constructing an anicut across the Kandaka stream in South Kanara;

(b) whether this proposal includes the construction of a bridge over the stream;

(c) whether that bridge work is included in the Post War Road Development Scheme;

(d) whether it is a fact that the public have been urging Government to construct this bridge?

A N S W E R

(a) The proposal was examined by the Government but deferred as being very uneconomic, the estimated cost being Rs.3 lakhs for irrigating an area of only about 100 acres.

(b) Yes.

(c) No.

(d) Yes. The question of constructing this Bridge will be considered at the time of preparing the next list

P.T.O.

list of works to be financed out of the States allocations from Central Road Fund.

T.M.S. Mani,
Secretary to Government.

(The above answer has been approved by Honourable the Minister for Works).

Asst. Secretary to Government.

To the Secretary, Madras Legislature (Assembly), 5 copies.
To the Personal Assistant, to Minister for Works.
To the Public (Elections) Department, 2 copies.

P.W.U.O. 85943 J/53-1
30-11-1953.

GR/4-12.

NOTE FOR ANSWERING THE SUPPLEMENTARIES.

While passing orders on the various recommendations of the Forest Grievances Enquiry Committee regarding provision of irrigation facilities in South Kanara District the Government in the Development Department directed the Chief Engineer (Irrigation) to examine the feasibility of treating the following two irrigation schemes as intensive cultivation schemes and to submit necessary proposals to the Government.

(1) construction of an anicut across Sangalagundi river, in Coondapur taluk and

(2) construction of an anicut across Kandak river in Puthur taluk.

As regards item (1) above, it is submitted that the Chief Engineer has included the scheme in Special Minor Irrigation Programme for 1952-53 and necessary action on this will be taken in the Food and Agriculture (Food Production) department separately.

As regards item (2) above, it is submitted that the Chief Engineer forwarded in May 1953, an approximate estimate amounting to Rs. 2,24,000 for works or Rs. 2,91,211 inclusive of direct and indirect charges for the construction of an anicut across the Kandadka river 1½ miles below the crossing of Jalasubramania Road near the 8th mile together with a bridge at the road crossing at Ubaradkamuthur village in Puthur taluk. The proposals were to construct a vented dam with removable horizontal wooden shutters fixed with masonry piers on a concrete bed block. The shutters would be fitted during the 2nd crop season to raise the F.S.L. to 108.000 level, water being let into two canals through head sluices located on either side. When the water level was brought to 108.00 level, the Jalasure-Subramania road would have a standing depth of water ~~kxi~~ of 3½' at the point of

crossing. This road would not be passable during monsoon months as the river would be in floods and only motorable in summer months. As the construction of the anicut would interfere with the normal traffic on this important road a bridge had to be constructed. Since the public had already been agitating for a pucca bridge and the difference between the cost of construction and a submersible bridge would be only about 20% the C.E. preferred a pucca bridge and provided a sum of Rs. 1 lakh towards this in the estimate.

The Chief Engineer stated that the scheme would benefit 103 acres during I and II crop periods, of which 30 acres were already under cultivation. The remaining 73 acres had to be cleared of thick forest growth and brought under cultivation. The additional food production expected would be 125 tons of rice. He also stated that the scheme required detailed investigation by Special staff to ascertain sufficiency of supplies etc. As the scheme could not be completed in a period of 2 years, the Chief Engineer considered that this could not be taken up under the Special M.I. programme.

The cost per acre to be benefited worked out to Rs. 2830/-, and, deducting the betterment contribution of Rs. 4250, promised by two of the beneficiaries, the Collector worked out the net return for the whole scheme (anicut including provision of bridge) as 0.24%. Excluding the cost of the bridge, the return from the scheme was stated to be 0.80%

According to the general policy laid down in G.O.No. 5346 Public Works dated 30.12.52, no irrigation scheme with a return of less than 2% or costing more than Rs. 300 per acre could be taken up unless betterment contribution was forthcoming from the ryots to increase the return to 2% or reduce the cost per acre to Rs. 300/- or the scheme would benefit a scarcity area and was one not depending on local rainfall so that it could afford

this Government's share of the waters of an Inter State river). This scheme not having satisfied of the conditions specified above, it was ordered in circulation to Minister (Public Works) that th detailed investigation of the scheme be deferred. Orders were accordingly issued in G.O.Ms.No. 3718 Public Works dated 11.9.53.

Road Bridge across Kandadka The work c constructing a bridge across the Kandadka stream M8/1 of the Jalsoor Subramania Road in Puthur tal South Kanara district is not included in the rec five year plan for development of roads other tha National Highways approved by the Government. Th Member represented, in July 1953, that there were five str ams on the Jalsoor-Subramania road, tha first and the last were major streams, that the Rural Welfare Department had come forward to tak the construction of minor causeways but that thi would be purposeless unless the first atleast, n Kandadka stream, at mile 8th of the road was bri He pointed out that the construction of a bridge causeway across the Kandadka stream would go a long way in extension of communication facilitie the Malnad area. He therefore urged that this w might be financed from the Central Road Fund in 1953-54.

The previous Minister for Public Work (Sri N. Ranga Reddi) discussed the matter with t Chief Engineer (Highways) on 10.8.53 and decided that provision would be made for this work in th list of Central Road Fund Works. The Member was informed that the question of constructing this bridge would be considered at the time of prepar

the next list of works to be financed from State allocations of the Central Road Fund. The list referred to is still awaited from the Chief Engineer (Highways).

Madras Legis. 1-2—5,606—23-10-52.

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE,
GOVERNMENT ESTATE, MOUNT ROAD,
Madras, dated the 24/9/1953.

QUESTION No. 583 for the next meeting of the Legislative Assembly to ~~be held on the~~ ~~195~~.

Two copies of this question are forwarded to the Public Works Department.
The question has been admitted.

23/9/53 24/9

24/9/53

The Public Works Department.

3269/53-6 M.C.
25-9-53

P.W.D. (J).

To A.S.

Please see the Legislative Assembly Question on p. 1 c.f. The question is starred and hence supplementary questions are likely to be asked. An advance copy of the question has been circulated to M(W) for information.

2. While passing orders on the various recommendations of the Forest Grievances Enquiry Committee regarding provision of irrigation facilities in South Kanara Dt. the Govt., in the Development Dept, directed the C.E.(I) to examine the feasibility of treating the following two irrigation schemes as intensive cultivation schemes and to submit necessary proposals to the Govt:

(1) construction of an anicut across Sanjlagundi river, in Coondapur taluk and

(2) construction of an anicut across Kandadka river in Puthur taluk.

gards item (1) above, it is submitted that the C.E. has included the scheme in the Special Minor Irrigation Programme for 1952-53 and necessary action on this will be taken in the F&A(F.P.) dept. separately.

4. As regards item (2) above, it is submitted that the C.E. forwarded in May 1953, an approximate estimate amounting to Rs. 2,24,000 for works or Rs. 2,91,211 inclusive of direct and indirect charges for the construction of an anicut across the Kandaka river 1½ miles below the crossing of Jalasure Subramania Road near the 8th mile together with a bridge at the road crossing at Ubaradkanthur village in Puthur taluk. The proposals were to construct a vented dam with removable horizontal wooden shutters fixed with masonry piers on a concrete bed block. The shutters would be fitted during the 2nd crop season to raise the F.S.L. to 108.000 level, water being led into two canals through head sluices located on either side. When the water level was brought to 108.00 level, the Jalasure-Subramania road would have a standing depth of water of 3½' at the point of crossing. This road would not be passable during monsoon months as the river would be in floods and only motorable in summer months. As the construction of the anicut would interfere with the normal traffic on this important road a bridge had to be constructed. Since the public had already been agitating for a pucca bridge, and the difference between the cost of construction of a pucca and a submersible bridge would be only about 20%, the C.E. preferred a pucca bridge and provided a sum of Rs. 1 lakh towards this in the estimate.

5. The C.E. stated that the scheme would benefit 103 acres during I and II crop periods, of which 30 acres were already under cultivation. The remaining 73 acres had to be cleared of thick forest growth and brought under cultivation. The additional food production expected would be 125 tons of rice. He also stated that the scheme required detailed investigation by Special staff to ascertain sufficiency of supplies etc. As the scheme could not be completed in a period of 2 years, the C.E.

considered tht this could not be taken up under the Spl. R. & B. programme.

6. The cost per acre to be benefited worked out to Rs. 2830/-, and, deducting the betterment contribution of Rs. 4250, promised by two of the beneficiaries, the Collector worked out the net return for the whole scheme (anicut including provision of bridge) as 0.24%. Excluding the cost of the bridge, the return from the scheme was stated to be 0.80%.

7. According to the general policy laid down in G.O.No. 5346 P.W. dated 30.12.52, no irrigation scheme with a return of less than 2% or costing more than Rs. 300 per acre, could be taken up unless betterment contribution was forthcoming from the ryots to increase the return to 2% or reduce the cost per acre to Rs. 300/- or the scheme would benefit a scarcity area and was not one not depending on local rainfall and so that it could afford protection during periods of famine, (or was not involve less than Govt's share of the works of not having satisfied any of the conditions specifie above, it was ordered in circulation to M(P.W.) that the detailed investigation of the scheme be deferred. Orders accordingly issued in G.O.Ms.No. 3718 P.W. dated 11.9.53.

8. Road Bridge across Kandadka The works of constructing a bridge across the Kandadka stream at M8/1 of the Jalsoor Subramania Road in Puthur taluk, South Kanara district is not included in the recast five year for development of roads other than National Highways approved by the Govt. The Member represented in July 1953 that there five streams on the Jalsoor-Subrahmania road, that the fir and the last were major streams, that the Rural Welfare Dep had come forward to take up the construction of minor causeways but that this would be purposeless unless the first atleast, namely Kandadka stream, at mile 8th of the road was bridged. He pointed out that the construction of a bridge or causeway across the Kandadka stream would go a long way in extension of communication facilities in the

Malkapad area. He therefore urged that this work might be financed from the Central Road Fund in 1953.54.

The previous Minister for Public Works (Sri N. Ranga Reddi) discussed the matter with the Chief Engineer (Highways) on 10.8.53 and decided that provision would be made for this work in the next list of Central Road Fund Works. The Member was informed that the question of constructing this bridge would be considered at the time of preparing the next list of works to be financed out of the State allocations from the Central Road Fund. The list referred to is still awaited from the CE (H).

9. A draft answer is submitted. Paras 2 to 8 above may be used as note for answering supplementaries. This may be circulated to M(W) for approval.

30 NOV 1953
PUBLIC WORKS L...

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
30 NOV 1953
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

M(W).

P.W.D

Extract from the Proceedings of the Leg Assembly held on 4.1.54.

Construction of an anicut across the Kandaka stream South Kanara.

* 275 Q.—SRI K. VENKATARAMANA GOWDA: V Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have any proposal for co ting an anicut across the Kandaka stream in South Kanara;

(*b*) whether this proposal includes the constructi bridge over the stream;

(*c*) whether that bridge work is included in the P Road Development Scheme; and

(*d*) whether it is a fact that the public have been Government to construct this bridge?

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SI PATHI:—" (*a*) The proposal was examined by the ment but deferred as being very uneconomic the est cost being Rs. 3 lakhs for irrigating an area of only 100 acres.

" (*b*) Yes.

" (*c*) No.

" (*d*) Yes. The question of constructing this bridge considered at the time of preparing the next list of w be financed out of the States allocations from Centra

I.A.S

Please see above the extracts from roceedings of the Assembly held on 4.1.54 No further action seems called for o The papers may be recorded in G.O M for facility of future references

24/4/54

20/4/54

20.4.54

Also A ver. R above.

24/4/54

G.O Ms. 1474 d. 24-4-54

Sub: Legislative Assembly Question starred No. 275 of January 1954 by Sri K. Venkataramana Gowda - Irrigation - South Kanara dist - Construction of an anicut across the Kandaka Stream